arXiv:1406.2788v1 [math.GR] 11 Jun 2014

The full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of a finite group

Min Feng Xuanlong Ma Kaishun Wang*

Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

Abstract

We describe the full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of a finite group. As an application, we solve a conjecture proposed by Doostabadi, Erfanian and Jafarzadeh in 2013.

Key words: power graph; power digraph; automorphism group.

2010 MSC: 05C25, 20B25.

1 Introduction

We always use G to denote a finite group. The *power digraph* $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G$ has G as its vertex set, where there is an arc from x to y if $x \neq y$ and y is a power of x. The *power graph* $\mathcal{P}_G$ is the underlying graph of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G$, which is obtained from $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G$ by suppressing the orientation of each arc and replacing multiple edges by one edge. Kelarev and Quinn [7, 8] introduced the power digraph of a semigroup and called it directed power graph. Chakrabarty, Ghosh and Sen [4] defined power graphs of semigroups. Recently, power (di)graphs have been investigated by researchers, see [3, 9–12]. A detailed list of results and open questions can be found in [1].

In 2013, Doostabadi, Erfanian and Jafarzadeh asserted that the full automorphism group of the power graph of the cyclic group Z_n is isomorphic to the direct product of some symmetry groups.

Conjecture [5] For every positive integer n,

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Z_n}) \cong S_{\varphi(n)+1} \times \prod_{d \in D(n)\setminus\{1,n\}} S_{\varphi(d)},$$

where $D(n)$ is the set of positive divisors of n, and φ is the Euler's totient function.

In fact, if n is a prime power, then $\mathcal{P}_{Z_n}$ is a complete graph by [4, Theorem 2.12], which implies that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Z_n}) \cong S_n$. Hence, the conjecture does not hold if $n = p^m$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fgmn_1998@163.com (M. Feng), mxl881112@126.com (X. Ma), wangks@bnu.edu.cn (K. Wang).

for any prime p and integer $m \geq 2$. The motivation of this paper is to show that this conjecture holds for the remaining case.

In this paper we describe the full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of an arbitrary finite group. As an application, this conjecture is valid if n is not a prime power.

2 Main results

Denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the set of all cyclic subgroups of G. For $C \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, let $[C]$ denote the set of all generators of C. Write

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \{C_1, \ldots, C_k\} \text{ and } [C_i] = \{[C_i]_1, \ldots, [C_i]_{s_i}\}.$$

Define $P(G)$ as the set of permutations σ on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ preserving order, inclusion and noninclusion, i.e., $|C_i^\sigma| = |C_i|$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $C_i \subseteq C_j$ if and only if $C_i^\sigma \subseteq C_j^\sigma$. Note that $P(G)$ is a permutation group on $\mathcal{C}(G)$. This group induces the faithful action on the set G:

$$G \times P(G) \longrightarrow G, \quad ([C_i]_j, \sigma) \longmapsto [C_i^\sigma]_j. \tag{1}$$

For $\Omega \subseteq G$, let S_Ω denote the symmetric group on Ω. Since G is the disjoint union of $[C_1], \ldots, [C_k]$, we get the faithful group action on the set G:

$$G \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]} \longrightarrow G, \quad ([C_i]_j, (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k)) \longmapsto ([C_i]_j)^{\xi_i}. \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a finite group. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G) = (\prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]}) \rtimes P(G),$$

where $P(G)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]}$ act on G as in (1) and (2), respectively.

In the power graph $\mathcal{P}_G$, the *closed neighborhood* of a vertex x, denoted by $N[x]$, is the set of its neighbors and itself. For $x, y \in G$, define $x \equiv y$ if $N[x] = N[y]$. Observe that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation. Let $\overline{x}$ denote the equivalence class containing x. Write

$$\mathcal{U}(G) = \{\overline{x} \mid x \in G\} = \{\overline{u_1}, \ldots, \overline{u_l}\}.$$

Since G is the disjoint union of $\overline{u_1}, \ldots, \overline{u_l}$, the following is a faithful group action on the set G:

$$G \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}} \longrightarrow G, \quad (x, (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l)) \longmapsto x^{\tau_i}, \quad \text{where } x \in \overline{u_i}. \tag{3}$$

Theorem 2.2 *Let G be a finite group. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G) = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}}) \rtimes P(G),$$

where $P(G)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}}$ act on G as in (1) and (3), respectively.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, the induced action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ on $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is discussed. In Section 4, we prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In Section 5, we determine $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ when G is cyclic, elementary abelian, dihedral or generalized quaternion.

3 The induced action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ on $\mathcal{U}(G)$

In [2], Cameron proved that each element of $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is a disjoint union of some $[x]$'s, where $[x]$ denotes the set of generators of $\langle x\rangle$.

Proposition 3.1 [2, Proposition 4] *Let e be the identity of G.*

(i) *If $G = \langle x\rangle$, then $\overline{e}$ is G or $[e] \cup [x]$ according to $|x|$ is a prime power or not.*

(ii) *If G is a generalized quaternion 2-group, then $\overline{e} = [e] \cup [x]$, where x is the unique involution in G.*

(iii) *If G is neither a cyclic group nor a generalized quaternion 2-group, then $\overline{e} = [e]$.*

Proposition 3.2 [2, Proposition 5] *Let x be an element of G. Suppose $\overline{x} \neq \overline{e}$. Then one of the following holds.*

(i) $\overline{x} = [x]$.

(ii) *There exist distinct elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$ in G such that*

$$\overline{x} = [x_1] \cup [x_2] \cup \cdots \cup [x_r], \quad r \geq 2,$$

where $\langle x_1\rangle \subseteq \langle x_2\rangle \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \langle x_r\rangle$, $|x_i| = p^{s+i}$ for some prime p and integer $s \geq 0$.

The equivalence class $\overline{e}$ is said to be of *type* I. An equivalence class that does not contain e is said to be of *type* II or III according to Proposition 3.2 (i) or (ii) holds. Furthermore, if $\overline{x}$ is of type III, with reference to Proposition 3.2 (ii), the numbers p, r, s are uniquely determined by $\overline{x}$. We call (p, r, s) its *parameters*.

For each $x \in G$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$, we have $\overline{x}^{\pi} = \overline{x^{\pi}}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ induces an action on $\mathcal{U}(G)$ as follow:

$$\mathcal{U}(G) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(G), \quad (\overline{x}, \pi) \longmapsto \overline{x^{\pi}}.$$

Next we shall show that each orbit of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ on $\mathcal{U}(G)$ consists of some equivalence classes of the same type.

Note that $\overline{e}$ consists of vertices whose closed neighborhoods in $\mathcal{P}_G$ are G. Hence, one gets the following result.

Lemma 3.3 *Each automorphism of $\mathcal{P}_G$ fixes $\overline{e}$.*

Lemma 3.4 *If $\overline{x}$ is an equivalence class of type III with parameters (p, r, s), then $|\overline{x}| = p^s(p^r - 1)$.*

Proof. With reference to Proposition 3.2 (ii), we have

$$|[x_i]| = \varphi(p^{s+i}) = p^{s+i-1}(p-1),$$

which implies that

$$|\overline{x}| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p^{s+i-1}(p-1) = p^s(p^r - 1),$$

as desired. □

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ are two distinct equivalence classes of type II or III. If $\langle x\rangle \subset \langle y\rangle$, then $|\overline{x}| \leq |\overline{y}|$, with equality if and only if the follows hold.*

(i) *Both $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ are of type II.*

(ii) *$|y| = 2|x|$ and $|x|$ is odd at least 3.*

Proof. We divide the proof in three cases:

Case 1. $\overline{x}$ is of type III with parameters (p, r, s).

Pick elements x_1 and x_r in $\overline{x}$ of order p^{s+1} and p^{s+r}, respectively. Then $\langle x_1\rangle \subseteq \langle x\rangle \subseteq \langle x_r\rangle$. Since $\langle x\rangle \subset \langle y\rangle$, we have $y \in N[x] = N[x_r]$. Note that any element z satisfying $\langle x\rangle \subseteq \langle z\rangle \subseteq \langle x_r\rangle$ belongs to $\overline{x}$. Then $\langle x_r\rangle \subset \langle y\rangle$. Since $\frac{|y|}{p^{s+r}}$ is more than 1, it has a prime divisor p'. Pick an element z_0 in $\langle y\rangle$ of order $p^{s+1}p'$. Then $z_0 \in N[x_1] = N[x_r]$. Hence, one of $p^{s+1}p'$ and p^{s+r} is divided by the other. In view of $r \geq 2$, we get $p' = p$. It follows that p^{s+r+1} divides $|y|$, and so $|[y]| \geq p^{s+r}(p-1) \geq p^{s+r}$. Lemma 3.4 implies that $|\overline{x}| < p^{s+r}$. Because $[y] \subseteq \overline{y}$, one has $|\overline{x}| < |\overline{y}|$.

Case 2. $\overline{y}$ is of type III with parameters (q, t, j).

Pick an element y_1 in $\overline{y}$ of order q^{j+1}. Since any element z satisfying $\langle y_1\rangle \subseteq \langle z\rangle \subseteq \langle y\rangle$ belongs to $\overline{y}$, one gets $\langle x\rangle \subset \langle y_1\rangle$. Pick $y_0 \in \langle y_1\rangle$ of order q^j. Then $\overline{x} \subseteq \langle y_0\rangle \setminus \{e\}$. Hence $|\overline{x}| \leq q^j - 1 < q^j(q^t - 1)$. According to Lemma 3.4, we have $|\overline{x}| < |\overline{y}|$.

Case 3. $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ are of type II.

Then $|\overline{x}| = \varphi(|x|)$ and $|\overline{y}| = \varphi(|y|)$. Since $|x|$ divides $|y|$, it follows that $|\overline{x}|$ divides $|\overline{y}|$, and so $|\overline{x}| \leq |\overline{y}|$. Note that $|x| \neq |y|$ and $x \neq e$. Then $|\overline{y}| = |\overline{x}|$ if and only if $|y| = 2|x|$ and $|x|$ is odd at least 3.

Combining all these cases, we get the desired result. □

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ are two distinct equivalence classes of type II or III. If $\langle x\rangle \subset \langle y\rangle$, then $\langle x^\pi\rangle \subset \langle y^\pi\rangle$ for every automorphism $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$.*

Proof. Denote by E_G the edge set of $\mathcal{P}_G$. Since $\{x, y\} \in E_G$, one gets $\{x^\pi, y^\pi\} \in E_G$. Because $\overline{x}^\pi \neq \overline{y}^\pi$, we have $\langle x^\pi\rangle \subset \langle y^\pi\rangle$ or $\langle y^\pi\rangle \subset \langle x^\pi\rangle$. Suppose for the contrary that $\langle y^\pi\rangle \subset \langle x^\pi\rangle$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $|\overline{x}| \leq |\overline{y}|$ and $|\overline{y}^\pi| \leq |\overline{x}^\pi|$. The fact that π is a bijection implies that $|\overline{x}| = |\overline{x}^\pi| = |\overline{y}| = |\overline{y}^\pi|$. By Lemma 3.5 again, the following hold:

a) For each $u \in \{x, y, x^\pi, y^\pi\}$, $\overline{u}$ is of type II.

b) $|y| = |x^\pi| = 2|x| = 2|y^\pi|$ and $|y^\pi|$ is odd at least 3.

Pick an element z of order 2 in $\langle y\rangle$. Then $\{z, y\} \in E_G$ and $\{z, x\} \notin E_G$, which imply that $\{z^\pi, y^\pi\} \in E_G$ and $\{z^\pi, x^\pi\} \notin E_G$, and hence $\langle y^\pi\rangle \subset \langle z^\pi\rangle$. Consequently,

$$|\overline{z}^\pi| = |\overline{z^\pi}| \geq |[z^\pi]| = \varphi(|z^\pi|) \geq \varphi(|y^\pi|) \geq 2.$$

Since $\overline{z}$ is of type II, we get $|\overline{z}| = \varphi(2) = 1$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.7 *Suppose $\overline{x}$ is of type II or III. If $|x|$ is a power of a prime p, then $|x^\pi|$ is also a power of p for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$.*

Proof. Pick any prime divisor q of $|x^\pi|$. It suffices to prove $q = p$. We only need to consider that G is not a p-group. Let z^π be an element of order q in $\langle x^\pi\rangle$. Proposition 3.1 implies that $\overline{z}^\pi$ is of type II or III. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\overline{z}$ is of type II or III.

Claim 1. $|\overline{z}^\pi| = q^r - 1$ *for some positive integer r.*

If $\overline{z}^\pi$ is of type II, then $|\overline{z}^\pi| = \varphi(q) = q-1$. If $\overline{z}^\pi$ is of type III, then its parameters are $(q, r, 0)$, which implies that $|\overline{z}^\pi| = q^r - 1$ by Lemma 3.4.

Claim 2. $|\overline{z}| = p^j - 1$ *for some positive integer j.*

Since $\{z^\pi, x^\pi\} \in E_G$, one gets $\langle z\rangle \subseteq \langle x\rangle$ or $\langle x\rangle \subseteq \langle z\rangle$. The fact that $z \neq e$ implies that p divides $|z|$. Pick an element $y \in \langle z\rangle$ of order p. Note that $\overline{y}$ is of type II or III. Similar to the proof of Claim 1, we get $|\overline{y}| = p^j - 1$ for some positive integer j. It suffices to show that $\overline{y} = \overline{z}$. Suppose for the contrary that $\overline{y} \neq \overline{z}$. Then $\langle y\rangle \subset \langle z\rangle$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\langle y^\pi\rangle \subset \langle z^\pi\rangle$. Since $|z^\pi|$ is a prime, one has $y^\pi = e$. It follows that $\overline{y}^\pi$ is of type I, contrary to Lemma 3.3.

Combining Claims 1 and 2, we get $q^r - 1 = p^j - 1$, and so $q = p$, as desired. □

Proposition 3.8 *Let $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{U}(G)$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$. Then $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{x}^\pi$ are of the same type. Moreover, if $\overline{x}$ is of type III, then $\overline{x}^\pi$ and $\overline{x}$ have the same parameters.*

Proof. Suppose that $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{x}^\pi$ are of the distinct types. From Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $\overline{x}$ is of type II and $\overline{x}^\pi$ is of type III with parameters (p, r, s). Then $|\overline{x}^\pi| = p^s(p^r - 1)$ by Lemma 3.4. Since $|x^\pi|$ is a power of p, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that $|x| = p^m$ for some positive integer m. Then $|\overline{x}| = |[x]| = \varphi(p^m) = p^{m-1}(p-1)$. Consequently, we get $p^s(p^r - 1) = p^{m-1}(p-1)$, and so $r = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{x}^\pi$ are of the same type.

Suppose $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{x}^\pi$ are of type III with parameters (p_1, r_1, s_1) and (p_2, r_2, s_2), respectively. According to Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7, we get $p_1^{s_1}(p_1^{r_1} - 1) = p_2^{s_2}(p_2^{r_2} - 1)$ and $p_1 = p_2$, and so $(p_1, r_1, s_1) = (p_2, r_2, s_2)$, as desired. □

4 Proof of main results

In this section we present the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. The following is an immediate result from (1), (2) and (3).

Lemma 4.1 *Let π be a permutation on the set G.*
(i) *If $\pi \in P(G)$, then $\langle x\rangle^\pi = \langle x^\pi\rangle$ for each $x \in G$.*
(ii) *Then $\pi \in \prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$ if and only if $[x]^\pi = [x]$ for each $x \in G$.*
(iii) *Then $\pi \in \prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}}$ if and only if $\overline{x}^\pi = \overline{x}$ for each $x \in G$.*

Lemma 4.2 (i) *$P(G)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$ are subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$.*
(ii) *$P(G)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}}$ are subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$.*

Proof. (i) Pick $\sigma \in P(G)$ and $\xi \in \prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$. In order to prove $\{\sigma, \xi\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$, by (1) and (2), we only need to show that $(x, y) \in A_G$ implies $(x^\sigma, y^\sigma) \in A_G$ and $(x^\xi, y^\xi) \in A_G$, where A_G is the arc set of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G$. Suppose $(x, y) \in A_G$. Then $\langle y\rangle \subseteq \langle x\rangle$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\langle y^\sigma\rangle \subseteq \langle x^\sigma\rangle$ and $\langle y^\xi\rangle \subseteq \langle x^\xi\rangle$. Therefore $(x^\sigma, y^\sigma) \in A_G$ and $(x^\xi, y^\xi) \in A_G$.

(ii) Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$. By (i), we have $P(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$. Pick $\tau \in \prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}}$ and $\{x, y\} \in E_G$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $x^\tau \in \overline{x}$ and $y^\tau \in \overline{y}$. If $\overline{x} = \overline{y}$, since $\overline{x}$ is a clique in $\mathcal{P}_G$, one has $\{x^\tau, y^\tau\} \in E_G$. If $\overline{x} \neq \overline{y}$, then each vertex in $\overline{x}$ and each vertex in $\overline{y}$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{P}_G$, which implies that $\{x^\tau, y^\tau\} \in E_G$. □

Write $\mathcal{C}'(G) = \{[x] \mid x \in G\}$. For each $[x] \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$, since $[x] = \{x\} \cup \{y \mid \{(x, y), (y, x)\} \subseteq A_G\}$, we have

$$[x]^\pi = \{x^\pi\} \cup \{y^\pi \mid \{(x^\pi, y^\pi), (y^\pi, x^\pi)\} \subseteq A_G\} = [x^\pi].$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$ induces an action on $\mathcal{C}'(G)$:

$$\mathcal{C}'(G) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}'(G), \quad ([x], \pi) \longmapsto [x^\pi].$$

Lemma 4.3 (i) *$P(G)$ is a subgroup of the normalizer of $\prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$.*
(ii) *$P(G)$ is a subgroup of the normalizer of $\prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\sigma \in P(G)$ and $\xi \in \prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$. For any $x \in G$, combining Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$[x]^{\sigma^{-1}\xi\sigma} = [x^{\sigma^{-1}}]^{\xi\sigma} = [x^{\sigma^{-1}}]^\sigma = [x].$$

It follows that $\sigma^{-1}\xi\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$, and so (i) holds.
(ii) The proof is similar to (i). □

For each $\overline{u_i} \in \mathcal{U}(G)$, by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, there exist pairwise distinct $C_{i_1}, \ldots, C_{i_t} \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that $\overline{u_i} = \bigcup_{j=1}^t [C_{i_j}]$. Hence, we get the following result.

Lemma 4.4 *$\prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]}$ is a subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}}$.*

Lemma 4.5 *$|P(G) \cap (\prod_{i=1}^k S_{[C_i]})| = 1$ and $|P(G) \cap (\prod_{i=1}^l S_{\overline{u_i}})| = 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, it is enough to prove $|P(G) \cap (\prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}})| = 1$. Pick any $\pi \in P(G) \cap (\prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}})$ and $x \in G$. Write $x = [C_i]_j$. Then $x^\pi = [C_i^\pi]_j$ by $\pi \in P(G)$. Since $\pi \in \prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}}$, one gets $x^\pi \in \overline{x}$. Note that $\overline{x}$ is a clique in $\mathcal{P}_G$. Then $x^\pi \in N[x]$, and so $C_i \subseteq C_i^\pi$ or $C_i^\pi \subseteq C_i$. Since $|C_i^\pi| = |C_i|$, we have $C_i^\pi = C_i$, which implies that $x^\pi = x$, as desired. □

For $x \in G$, we have $\langle x \rangle = \{x\} \cup \{y \mid (x, y) \in A_G\}$. Hence, for each $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$,

$$\langle x \rangle^\pi = \{x^\pi\} \cup \{y^\pi \mid (x^\pi, y^\pi) \in A_G\} = \langle x^\pi \rangle.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$ induces an action on $\mathcal{C}(G)$:

$$\mathcal{C}(G) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G), \quad (\langle x \rangle, \pi) \longmapsto \langle x^\pi \rangle.$$

It is routine to verify that this group action preserves order, inclusion and noninclusion. Hence, the following result holds.

Lemma 4.6 *For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$, there exists an element $\sigma \in P(G)$ such that $\langle x \rangle^\pi = \langle x \rangle^\sigma$ for every $x \in G$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1: It is apparent from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 that $(\prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]}) \rtimes P(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$. Pick any $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$. By Lemma 4.6 there exists an element $\sigma \in P(G)$ such that, for any $x \in G$,

$$\langle x^{\pi\sigma^{-1}} \rangle = \langle x \rangle^{\pi\sigma^{-1}} = \langle x \rangle,$$

which implies that $x^{\pi\sigma^{-1}} \in [x]$. Then $\pi\sigma^{-1} \in \prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]}$ and $\pi \in (\prod_{i=1}^{k} S_{[C_i]})(P(G))$. Hence, the desired result follows. □

Proposition 4.7 *For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$, there exists an element $\tau \in \prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}}$ such that $\tau\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\overline{u_1}$ is of type I, $\overline{u_i}$ is of type II for $2 \le i \le d$, and $\overline{u_j}$ is of type III with parameters (p_j, r_j, s_j) for $d+1 \le j \le l$. According to Proposition 3.8 each $\overline{u_j}^\pi$ is of type III with parameters (p_j, r_j, s_j).

For each $t \in \{1, \ldots, r_j\}$, let $\{x_{t1}^{(j)}, \ldots, x_{tm_{jt}}^{(j)}\}$ and $\{y_{t1}^{(j)}, \ldots, y_{tm_{jt}}^{(j)}\}$ be the sets of elements of order $p_j^{s_j+t}$ in $\overline{u_j}$ and $\overline{u_j}^\pi$, respectively. Then $\tau_j : x_{tm}^{(j)} \longmapsto (y_{tm}^{(j)})^{\pi^{-1}}$ is a permutation on $\overline{u_j}$, where $1 \le t \le r_j$ and $1 \le m \le m_{jt}$. Write $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l)$, where τ_1 is the inverse of the restriction of π to $\overline{u_1}$, and τ_i is the identity of $S_{\overline{u_i}}$ for $2 \le i \le d$. Hence $\tau \in \prod_{i=1}^{l} S_{\overline{u_i}}$.

We claim that, for each $x \in G$, the equality $|x^{\tau\pi}| = |x|$ holds. We divide our proof into three cases.

Case 1. $\overline{x}$ is of type I.
Then $x^{\tau\pi} = (x^{\tau_1})^\pi = x$, and so $|x^{\tau\pi}| = |x|$.

Case 2. $\overline{x}$ is of type II.

Then $x^{\tau\pi} = x^{\pi}$. According to Proposition 3.8 we obtain that $\overline{x}^{\pi}$ is of type II, which implies that $[x^{\pi}] = \overline{x}^{\pi} = [x]^{\pi}$. Hence, one has

$$\varphi(|x^{\pi}|) = |[x^{\pi}]| = |[x]| = \varphi(|x|).$$

Suppose $|x^{\pi}| \neq |x|$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|x^{\pi}| < |x|$. Then $|x| = 2|x^{\pi}|$ and $|x^{\pi}|$ is odd. Pick an element $z \in \langle x \rangle$ of order 2. It is clear that $\overline{z}$ is of type II and $\overline{z} \neq \overline{x}$. From Lemma 3.6 we get $\langle z^{\pi} \rangle \subset \langle x^{\pi} \rangle$. Since $\overline{z}^{\pi}$ is of type II, we infer that $|z^{\pi}|$ is odd at least 3. Hence $|\overline{z}^{\pi}| \geq \varphi(3) = 2$, contrary to $|\overline{z}| = 1$. Therefore $|x^{\pi}| = |x|$.

Case 3. $\overline{x}$ is of type III with parameters (p_j, r_j, s_j).

Then $x = x_{tm}^{(j)}$ for some indices t and m. Since $x^{\tau\pi} = y_{tm}^{(j)}$, we have $|x^{\tau\pi}| = |x|$.

Consequently, our claim is valid.

Finally, we show that $\tau\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$. Suppose $(u, v) \in A_G$. Then $\{u, v\} \in E_G$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\tau\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$. Hence $\langle u^{\tau\pi} \rangle \subseteq \langle v^{\tau\pi} \rangle$ or $\langle v^{\tau\pi} \rangle \subseteq \langle u^{\tau\pi} \rangle$. Since $\langle v \rangle \subseteq \langle u \rangle$, by the claim, $|v^{\tau\pi}|$ divides $|u^{\tau\pi}|$, which implies that $\langle v^{\tau\pi} \rangle \subseteq \langle u^{\tau\pi} \rangle$. So $(u^{\tau\pi}, v^{\tau\pi}) \in A_G$, as desired. □

Combining Theorem 2.1, Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

5 Examples

In this section we shall compute $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ if G is cyclic, elementary abelian, dihedral or generalized quaternion. We begin with cyclic groups.

Example 5.1 *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_n}) \cong \prod_{d \in D(n)} S_{\varphi(d)}$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Z_n}) \cong \begin{cases} S_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is a prime power,} \\ S_{\varphi(n)+1} \times \prod_{d \in D(n) \setminus \{1,n\}} S_{\varphi(d)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. For any $d \in D(n)$, denote by A_d the unique cyclic subgroup of order d in Z_n. Note that $P(Z_n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{A_d | d \in D(n)\}}$ and $S_{[A_d]} \cong S_{\varphi(d)}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ denotes the identity map on the set Ω. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that (i) holds. If n is a prime power, then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G) \cong S_n$ by [4, Theorem 2.12]. If n is not a prime power, by [6, Proposition 3.6],

$$\mathcal{U}(Z_n) = \{[A_d] \mid d \in D(n) \setminus \{1, n\}\} \cup \{[A_1] \cup [A_n]\}.$$

Hence (ii) holds by Theorem 2.2. □

Example 5.1 shows that the conjecture proposed by Doostabadi, Erfanian and Jafarzadeh holds if n is not a prime power.

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we get the following result.

Proposition 5.2 $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_G) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ *if and only if* $\overline{x} = [x]$ *for each* $x \in G$.

Let H be a group and K be a permutation group on a set Y. The wreath product $H \wr K$ is the semidirect product $N \rtimes K$, where N is the direct product of $|Y|$ copies of H (indexed by Y), and K acts on N by permuting the factors in the same way as it permutes elements of Y. If H is a permutation group on a set X, then $H \wr K$ has a nature action on $X \times Y$:

$$(X \times Y) \times (H \wr K) \longrightarrow X \times Y, \quad ((x, y_i), (h_{y_1}, \ldots, h_{y_{|Y|}}; k)) \longmapsto (x^{h_{y_i}}, y_i^k),$$

where $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{|Y|}\} = Y$.

For a prime p and a positive integer n, let Z_p^n denote the elementary abelian p-group, i.e., the direct product of n copies of Z_p.

Example 5.3 *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Z_p^n}) = \mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_p^n}) \cong S_{p-1} \wr S_m,$$

where $m = \frac{p^n-1}{p-1}$.

Proof. Write $\mathcal{C}(Z_p^n) = \{\langle e\rangle, A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$. Then each A_i is isomorphic to Z_p and $|A_i \cap A_j| = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Hence, one has $P(Z_p^n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle e\rangle\}} S_{\{A_i | 1 \leq i \leq m\}}$. Combining Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and Proposition 5.2, we get the desired result. □



Figure 1: The partition of D_{2n}

Example 5.4 *For $n \geq 3$, let D_{2n} denote the dihedral group of order $2n$. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{D_{2n}}) \cong \prod_{d \in D(n)} S_{\varphi(d)} \times S_n$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{D_{2n}}) \cong \begin{cases} S_{n-1} \times S_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is a prime power,} \\ \prod_{d \in D(n)} S_{\varphi(d)} \times S_n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. Pick $a, b \in D_{2n}$ with $|a| = n$ and $|b| = 2$. Then

$$D_{2n} = \{e, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}\} \cup \{b, ab, \ldots, a^{n-1}b\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}(D_{2n}) = \mathcal{C}(\langle a\rangle) \cup \{\langle a^i b\rangle \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\},$$

as shown in Figure 1. Note that $|a^i b| = 2$, $|\langle a^i b\rangle \cap \langle a\rangle| = 1$ and $|\langle a^i b\rangle \cap \langle a^j b\rangle| = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Hence we have

$$P(D_{2n}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}(\langle a\rangle)} S_{\{\langle a^i b\rangle | 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}}. \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 2.1, one has

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{D_{2n}}) = (\prod_{A\in\mathcal{C}(\langle a\rangle)} S_{[A]} \rtimes \mathbf{1}_{\langle a\rangle}) \times (\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{[\langle a^i b\rangle]} \rtimes S_{\{a^i b \mid 0\le i\le n-1\}}),$$

which implies (i).

Suppose n is a prime power. Then

$$\mathcal{U}(D_{2n}) = \{\{e\}, \{a^1, \ldots, a^{n-1}\}\} \cup \{\{a^i b\} \mid 0 \le i \le n-1\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 and (4) imply that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{D_{2n}}) \cong S_{n-1} \times S_n$.

Suppose n is not a prime power. Then $\overline{a^i} = [a^i]$. By Proposition 5.2, our desired result follows. □

Let Q_{4n} denote the generalized quaternion group of order $4n$, i.e.,

$$Q_{4n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2n} = e, x^n = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}\rangle. \tag{5}$$

The power digraph $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{Q_8}$ is shown in Figure 2. Observe that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{Q_8}) \cong S_2 \wr S_3, \quad \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Q_8}) \cong S_2 \times (S_2 \wr S_3).$$



Figure 2: The power digraph of Q_8



Figure 3: The partition of Q_{4n}

Example 5.5 *Let $n \geq 3$. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{Aut}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{Q_{4n}}) \cong \prod_{d\in D(2n)} S_{\varphi(d)} \times (S_2 \wr S_n)$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{Q_{4n}}) \cong \begin{cases} S_2 \times S_{2n-2} \times (S_2 \wr S_n), & \text{if } n \text{ is a power of 2,} \\ \prod_{d\in D(2n)} S_{\varphi(d)} \times (S_2 \wr S_n), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. With reference to (5), $y^{-1} = x^n y$ and $(x^i y)^{-1} = x^{2n-i}y$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. So we have

$$Q_{4n} = \{e, x, \ldots, x^{2n-1}\} \cup \{y, x^n y\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{x^i y, x^{2n-i} y\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}(Q_{4n}) = \mathcal{C}(\langle x\rangle) \cup \{\langle x^j y\rangle \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\},$$

as shown in Figure 3. Then

$$P(Q_{4n}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}(\langle x\rangle)} S_{\{\langle x^j y\rangle | 0\leq j\leq n-1\}}. \tag{6}$$

Thus (i) holds from Theorem 2.1.

Suppose n is a power of 2. Then

$$\mathcal{U}(Q_{4n}) = \{\{e, x^n\}, \langle x\rangle \setminus \{e, x^n\}, \{y, x^n y\}\} \cup \{\{x^i y, x^{2n-i} y\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 and (6) imply (ii) holds.

Suppose n is not a power of 2. Then $\overline{x^i} = [x^i]$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1\}$. From Proposition 5.2 we get the desired result. □

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271047, 11371204).

References

[1] J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev and M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: A survey, Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. 1 (2013), 125–147.

[2] P.J. Cameron, The power graph of a finite group, II, J. Group Theory 13 (2010), 779–783.

[3] P.J. Cameron and S. Ghosh, The power graph of a finite group, Discrete Math. 311 (2011), 1220–1222.

[4] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh and M.K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, Semigroup Forum 78 (2009), 410–426.

[5] A. Doostabadi, A. Erfanian and A. Jafarzadeh, Some results on the power graph of groups, The Extended Abstracts of the 44th Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference 27–30 August 2013, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran, http://profdoc.um.ac.ir/articles/a/1036567.pdf.

[6] M. Feng, X. Ma and K. Wang, On the power graph of a finite group, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1404.5192v1.pdf.

[7] A.V. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups, Contributions to general algebra, 12 (Vienna, 1999), 229–235, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000.

[8] A.V. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, Directed graph and combinatorial properties of semigroups, J. Algebra 251 (2002), 16–26.

[9] A.V. Kelarev, S.J. Quinn and R. Smolíková, Power graphs and semigroups of matrices, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 63 (2001), 341–344.

[10] M. Mirzargar, A.R. Ashrafi and M.J. Nadjafi-Arani, On the power graph of a finite group. Filomat 26 (2012), 1201–1208.

[11] A.R. Moghaddamfar, S. Rahbariyan and W.J. Shi, Certain properties of the power graph associated with a finite group, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), 1450040.

[12] T. Tamizh Chelvam and M. Sattanathan, Power graph of finite abelian groups, Algebra Discrete Math. 16 (2013), 33–41.